

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** 10/806,831 03/23/2004 10628.00082 4244 Danny Williams 22908 03/14/2006 **EXAMINER** 7590 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. WATSON, ROBERT C TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 3000** CHICAGO, IL 60606 3723

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,831	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Watson	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC is 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	o)⊠ This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.	on and/or election requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		. U
9) The specification is objected to by the		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any object		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		
11) The bath of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	office Action of form 1 10 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.	*
2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in A	pplication No
•	f the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the Internation		raccivad
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
	C	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)

Application/Control Number: 10/806,831

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dolinski et al in view of Gardner.

Dolinski et al shows an installation tool comprising a threaded rod 150, a bushing 170, and a threaded drive nut 160 having a cylindrical neck section.

Gardner broadly teaches that an installation tool can have multiple parts of different sizes (eg., Figure 2 of Gardner) that may be interchanged to adapt the tool to different objects to be installed.

To make multiples of any of the individual tool parts (eg., threaded rod, bushing, and drive nut) of the Dkolinski et al tool such that each tool part has a different size would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the broad teaching of Gardner et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to universally adapt the Dolinski et al tool to different sized objects. The type of object being engaged by the tool is a matter of intended use that has no patentable significance.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dolinski et al in view of Gardner et al supra and further in view of Swanson.

Swanson teaches the use of a puller tool utilizing a threaded rod wherein a washer and a spacer are only sometimes part of the puller tool. To provide a washer

Application/Control Number: 10/806,831

Art Unit: 3723

and spacer in the above applied tool of Dolinski et al in view of Gardner et al would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Swanson. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to desirably space the working end of the tool from the object as desired depending on the configuration of the object.

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3